

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

The publication of an article in a peer-reviewed journal is an essential model for the *Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences*. It is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the journal editor, the peer reviewer and the publisher. Our ethic statements are based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for the Journal Editors: http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf

Duties of Editors

Publication decisions

The editor of the journal is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published.

The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Fair play

An editor at any time evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's research without the express written consent of the author.

Duties of Reviewers

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgment of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Duties of Authors

Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data Access and Retention

Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data (consistent with the ALPSP-STM Statement on Data and Databases), if practicable, and should, in any event, be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication. https://www.stm-assoc.org/2006_06_01_STM_ALPSP_Data_Statement.pdf

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Authors are obliged to confirm the publication is original and has not to be published/presented before during the manuscript submission to the Journal editorial system.

Acknowledgment of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where others have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Hazards and Human or Animal Subjects

If the work involves chemicals, procedures, or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use, the author must identify these in the manuscript.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Financing statement

An author has to disclose in the acknowledgments of the manuscript sources of financing of the research (project, grant, private source).

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her published work, the author should promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

Scientific misconduct

The authors should ensure that there is no scientific misconduct in their work.

Integrity in science is one of the foundations of quality. Readers of our journal should be assured that the authors of publications transparently, fairly, and honestly present the results of their work, regardless of whether they are the direct authors, or they used the aid of a specialized entity (natural person or legal).

Scientific misconduct is the violation of the standard codes of scholarly conduct and ethical behavior in professional scientific research. Further details about scientific misconduct are available online at:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientific_misconduct

The U.S. National Science Foundation defines three types of research misconduct: fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism. Other types of research misconduct are also recognized: the violation of ethical standards regarding human and animal experiments - such as the standard that a human subject of the experiment must give informed consent to the experiment. Ghostwriting - the phenomenon where someone other than the named author(s) makes a major contribution (where the real author is not listed as an author). Typically, this is done to mask contributions from companies. It incorporates plagiarism and has an additional element of financial fraud. Conversely, research misconduct is not limited to NOT listing authorship, but also includes the conferring authorship on those that have not made substantial contributions to the research.

Authors and co-authors of scientific publications have a variety of responsibilities. Contravention of the rules of scientific authorship may lead to a charge of scientific misconduct. All authors, including co-authors, are expected to have made reasonable attempts to check findings submitted to academic journals for publication.

Simultaneous submission of scientific findings to more than one journal or duplicate publication of findings is usually regarded as misconduct.

All forms of scientific misconduct are not allowed with our Journal and will be solved according to this document.

Author's Warranties

Authors of the article have to sign the license to publish, which contains this statement:

The author warrants that the article is original, written by stated author(s), has not been published before, contains no unlawful statements, does not infringe the rights of others, is subject to copyright that is vested exclusively in the author and free of any third party rights, and that any necessary written permissions to quote from other sources have been obtained by the author(s). The author warrants that there is no scientific misconduct in the article.

License to publish: <http://www.potravinarstvo.com/dokumenty/license.pdf>

The author who submits the manuscript to the journal editorial system has to fill in the submission checklist during the first step of the submission process. The author has to indicate that this submission is ready to be considered by this journal by checking off the following:

- The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).
- The submission file is in Microsoft Word or RTF document file format.
- The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Instruction for authors, which is found in <http://www.potravinarstvo.com/dokumenty/instructions.pdf>.
- The authors agree to the terms of the Copyright Notice, which will apply to the submission if and when it is published by this journal.

RULES TO SOLVING THE PROBLEM WITH PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE

1. The editor must decide if the violation is minor or serious.
2. Minor violations can be solved directly with the Editor or Sub-Editor.
3. The serious violation must be solved by at least three members of the Editorial Board, who give an opinion to the editor. The Editor must make the final decision.
4. A decision must be communicated to the corresponding author by e-mail or mail.
5. In the case of plagiarism, below stated Plagiarism policy must be applied.

PLAGIARISM POLICY

Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences does not allow any form of plagiarism. Plagiarism is considered to be a serious breach of scientific ethics by the Journal. Incidents of plagiarism in a manuscript or published paper whether detected or reported will be dealt with severely in accordance with the following Plagiarism Policy.

1. Upon discovery of incidences of plagiarism in a manuscript submitted for publication, the editors will first decide if the infraction is minor or serious. Minor infractions could be defined as using the material word for word without quotes but referencing the material appropriately. Serious infractions include copying sentences or paragraphs without appropriate referencing and the use of data from previously published sources without attribution. Minor infractions may be dealt with in the review process by requiring the authors to make appropriate changes. Serious infractions require rejection of the manuscript and appropriate notification of the author.
2. Upon discovery of incidences of plagiarism in a published paper, the editors will contact the author to request a "Letter to the Editor." The author's letter should include a full explanation of the seriousness of the offense and provide substitute language for the passage or passages in question. If the author does not supply the requested letter, the paper will be retracted by the journal and appropriate notice containing the reason for the retraction will be given to readers.
3. Upon discovery of a subsequent incidence of plagiarism by an author already warned or penalized for plagiarism, the editors will reject the manuscript and inform the author that they will no longer be allowed to submit a manuscript to either the *Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences* as an author or co-author in the future.